# Final ADEPT Results 2005–06



**Example 19** Issued by the **Division of Educator Quality and Leadership** 

South Carolina Department of Education Columbia, South Carolina

**Inez Moore Tenenbaum State Superintendent of Education** 

September 2006

South Carolina's Assisting, Developing, and Evaluating Professional Teaching (ADEPT) system is designed to promote teacher quality in two ways. First, through the assistance and professional development processes, emphasis is placed on continuous quality improvement. Secondly, during the formal evaluation process, the focus shifts to quality assurance. In combination, these two components help ensure that teachers in South Carolina are caring, competent, and effective.

ADEPT is a success-based system. It is expected that, given adequate and appropriate preparation and support during their teacher education and induction programs, most teachers will meet the formal evaluation criteria and will continue to demonstrate high levels of performance throughout their teaching careers.

The following tables summarize the ADEPT evaluation results for teachers at each contract level. Explanations of the teacher contract levels and the ADEPT processes appear later in this report. Teachers who are employed under a letter of agreement do not fall under the ADEPT system; therefore, information regarding these teachers is not included in this report.

Data for this report were submitted electronically by school districts via the ADEPT Data System (ADS) beginning with the 2002–03 academic year. The Professional Certified Staff (PCS) system was used to collect these data prior to 2002–03.

#### STATEWIDE TOTALS (ALL TEACHER CONTRACT LEVELS)

Total		Number and Percentage of Teachers			
Academic Year	Number of Teachers Reported	Met ADEPT Standards	Did Not Meet ADEPT Standards	ADEPT Cycle Incomplete	ADEPT Results Not Reported
2005–06	50,601	49,093 (97%)	572 (1%)	722 (1%)	214 (1%)
2004–05	48,947	47,655 (97%)	490 (1%)	345 (1%)	457 (1%)
2003-04	47,578	45,427 (95%)	451 (1%)	284 (1%)	1416 (3%)
2002-03	51, 608	49,797 (96%)	449 (1%)	243 (<1%)	1119 (2%)
2001–02	45,331	44,477 (98%)	854 (2%)	No data	No data
2000-01	42,983	42,808 (99%)	175 (1%)	No data	No data
1999–2000	46,102	45,830 (99%)	272 (1%)	No data	No data
1998–99	5,448	5,351 (98%)	97 (2%)	No data	No data

#### TEACHERS EMPLOYED UNDER INDUCTION CONTRACTS FORMATIVE EVALUATION

	Total	N	umber and Perce	ntage of Teachers		
Academic Year	Number of Teachers Reported	Met ADEPT Standards	Did Not Meet ADEPT Standards	ADEPT Cycle Incomplete	ADEPT Results Not Reported	
2005–06	3,346	3076 (92%)	145 (4%)	86 (3%)	39 (1%)	
2004–05	3,017	2,699 (89%)	112 (4%)	72 (2%)	134 (5%)	
2003-04	2,192	1,547 (70%)	124 (6%)	64 (3%)	457 (21%)	
2002-03	2,651	2,154 (81%)	127 (5%)	74 (3%)	296 (11%)	
2001–02	2,903	2,791 (96%)	112 (4%)	No data	No data	
2000-01	3,017	2,957 (98%)	60 (2%)	No data	No data	
1999–2000	3,228	3,115 (97%)	113 (3%)	No data	No data	
1998–99	2,452	2,398 (98%)	54 (2%)	No data	No data	

## TEACHERS EMPLOYED UNDER PROVISIONAL CONTRACTS FORMAL EVALUATION

	Total	Number and Percentage of Teachers				
Academic Year	Number of Teachers Reported	Met ADEPT Standards	Did Not Meet ADEPT Standards	ADEPT Cycle Incomplete	ADEPT Results Not Reported	
		Provisional contract	s are no longer issu	ued.		
2004–05	105	85 (81%)	9 (9%)	3 (3%)	8 (7%)	
2003–04	159	125 (79%)	5 (3%)	14 (9%)	15 (9%)	
2002-03	275	216 (79%)	12 (4%)	20 (7%)	27 (10%)	
2001–02	223	207 (93%)	16 (7%)	No data	No data	
2000–01	130	120 (92%)	10 (8%)	No data	No data	
1999–2000	190	169 (89%)	21 (11%)	No data	No data	
1998–99	234	221 (95%)	13 (5%)	No data	No data	

#### TEACHERS EMPLOYED UNDER ANNUAL CONTRACTS FORMAL EVALUATION 1

	Total	Number and Percentage of Teachers				
Academic Year	Number of Teachers Reported	Met ADEPT Standards	Did Not Meet ADEPT Standards	ADEPT Cycle Incomplete	ADEPT Results Not Reported	
2005–06	3,657	3,310 (91%)	164 (4%)	154 (4%)	29 (1%)	
2004–05	2,766	2,412 (87%)	151 (5%)	104 (4%)	99 (4%)	
2003-04	2,851	2,336 (82%)	143 (5%)	77 (3%)	295 (10%)	
2002-03	3,166	2,711 (86%)	130 (4%)	57 (2%)	268 (8%)	
2001–02	3,200	3,013 (94%)	187 (6%)	No data	No data	
2000-01	3,268	3,212 (98%)	56 (2%)	No data	No data	
1999–2000	3,186	3,115 (98%)	71 (2%)	No data	No data	
1998–99	2,659	2,632 (99%)	27 (1%)	No data	No data	

## TEACHERS EMPLOYED UNDER ANNUAL CONTRACTS FORMAL EVALUATION 2

	Total	Number and Percentage of Teachers				
Academic Year	Number of Teachers Reported	Met ADEPT Standards	Did Not Meet ADEPT Standards	ADEPT Cycle Incomplete	ADEPT Results Not Reported	
2005–06	156	125 (80%)	2 (1%)	14 (9%)	15 (10%)	
2004–05	303	255 (84%)	11 (4%)	20 (7%)	17 (5%)	
2003–04	425	346 (81%)	18 (4%)	26 (6%)	35 (8%)	
2002-03	370	310 (84%)	18 (5%)	15 (4%)	27 (7%)	
2001–02	163	149 (91%)	14 (9%)	No data	No data	
2000-01	144	133 (92%)	11 (8%)	No data	No data	
1999–2000	122	111 (91%)	11 (9%)	No data	No data	
1998–99	103	100 (97%)	3 (3%)	No data	No data	

#### TEACHERS EMPLOYED UNDER ANNUAL CONTRACTS DIAGNOSTIC ASSISTANCE

Total		N	umber and Perce	ntage of Teachers	
Academic Year	Number of Teachers Reported	Met ADEPT Standards	Did Not Meet ADEPT Standards	ADEPT Cycle Incomplete	ADEPT Results Not Reported
2005–06	362	303 (84%)	26 (7%)	26 (7%)	7 (2%)
2004–05	14	13 (93%)	1 (7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
The General A	Assembly approv	ved the diagnostic as	sistance process fo	r annual-contract teacl	ners in 2004.

#### TEACHERS EMPLOYED UNDER ANNUAL CONTRACTS INFORMAL EVALUATION (GBE)

	Total	Number and Percentage of Teachers				
Academic Year	Number of Teachers Reported	Met ADEPT Standards	Did Not Meet ADEPT Standards	ADEPT Cycle Incomplete	ADEPT Results Not Reported	
2005–06	864	775 (90%)	6 (1%)	27 (3%)	56 (6%)	
2004–05	220	206 (94%)	4 (2%)	5 (2%)	5 (2%)	
The C	General Assembly	y approved the GBE	process for annual	-contract teachers in 2	004.	

#### TEACHERS EMPLOYED UNDER CONTINUING CONTRACTS FORMAL EVALUATION

	Total	Number and Percentage of Teachers					
Academic Year	Number of Teachers Reported	Met ADEPT Standards	Did Not Meet ADEPT Standards	ADEPT Cycle Incomplete	ADEPT Results Not Reported		
2005–06	658	504 (77%)	94 (14%)	53 (8%)	7 (1%)		
2004–05	720	382 (53%)	109 (15%)	35 (5%)	194 (27%)		
2003-04	580	387 (67%)	92 (16%)	30 (5%)	71 (12%)		
2002-03	637	491 (77%)	93 (15%)	9 (1%)	44 (7%)		
The	State Departmen	t of Education began	n collecting data in	this category in 2002	2–03.		

#### TEACHERS EMPLOYED UNDER CONTINUING CONTRACTS MODIFIED FORMAL EVALUATION

	Total	Number and Percentage of Teachers				
Academic Year	Number of Teachers Reported	Met ADEPT Standards	Did Not Meet ADEPT Standards	ADEPT Cycle Incomplete	ADEPT Results Not Reported	
2005–06	74	68 (92%)	4 (5%)	2 (3%)	0 (0%)	
2004–05	80	70 (88%)	4 (5%)	6 (7%)	0 (0%)	
The	The State Department of Education began collecting data in this category in 2004–05.					

#### TEACHERS EMPLOYED UNDER CONTINUING CONTRACTS INFORMAL EVALUATION (GBE)

	Total	Number and Percentage of Teachers				
Academic Year	Number of Teachers Reported	Met ADEPT Standards	Did Not Meet ADEPT Standards	ADEPT Cycle Incomplete	ADEPT Results Not Reported	
2005–06	41,484	40,932 (99%)	131 (<1%)	360 (1%)	61 (<1%)	
2004–05	41,722	41,533 (99%)	89 (<1%)	100 (<1%)	0 (0%)	
2003-04	41,371	40,686 (98%)	69 (<1%)	73 (<1%)	543 (1%)	
2002-03	44,509	43,915 (99%)	69 (<1%)	68 (<1%)	457 (1%)	
2001-02	38,892	38,367 (99%)	525 (1%)	No data	No data	
2000-01	36,424	36,386 (99%)	38 (1%)	No data	No data	
1999–2000	39,376	39,320 (99%)	56 (1%)	No data	No data	
The S	State Department	of Education began	collecting data in the	his category in 1999–2	2000.	

#### **Explanation of Teacher Contract Levels and ADEPT Processes**

**Induction contracts** are issued to teachers who hold valid South Carolina teaching certificates and have less than one year of teaching experience. During this induction year, beginning teachers participate in activities designed to facilitate their successful transition into professional practice. Novice teachers also receive support, assistance, and feedback from mentors, building administrators, and other experienced and novice teachers.

**Provisional contracts** were phased out during the 2004–05 school year and replaced with diagnostic assistance during an annual-contract year, per the amended ADEPT statute (S.C. Code Ann. § 59-26-40).

**Annual contracts** are issued to teachers who have completed an induction-contract year. Teachers may have up to four annual contracts. The following ADEPT processes apply to annual-contract teachers:

• Formal evaluation. During the formal evaluation process, districts must adhere to standardized procedures (e.g., multiple evaluators; multiple sources of data; collection of data over time; documentation of evidence; maximum validity, reliability, and freedom from bias) in order to make summative, consensus-based judgments about teachers' performance with regard to the state's professional teaching standards (i.e., ADEPT Performance Dimensions).

Teachers must successfully complete a formal evaluation at the annual-contract level in order to be eligible to advance to a professional teaching certificate.

Teachers who fail formal evaluation for the second time at the annual-contract level are automatically suspended from teaching in any public school in this state for a minimum of two years. Additionally, these teachers must complete a state-approved program of remediation in order to have their teaching certificates reinstated.

- Diagnostic assistance. Annual contract teachers are eligible to receive one year of diagnostic assistance, if needed. The purpose of diagnostic assistance is to support promising teachers who require additional help either after their induction year or after their first unsuccessful formal evaluation. Additionally, teachers from out of state or from a nonpublic school setting who have more than one year of teaching experience are eligible to receive a year of diagnostic assistance, at the discretion of the school district, in order to become familiar with the district and/or the ADEPT system prior to their formal evaluation. During the diagnostic assistance year, mentors, administrators, and peers provide support, assistance, and/or feedback tailored to meet the specific needs of each teacher.
- Informal evaluation—also known as goals-based evaluation, or GBE. During the GBE process, teachers collaborate with administrators to develop, implement, and evaluate individualized goals and professional development plans. At the annual-contract level, GBE applies primarily to alternative certification (PACE) teachers, career and technology education (CATE) teachers, and international teachers who have successfully completed a formal evaluation during a previous annual-contract year but who have not yet completed all other requirements for a professional teaching certificate.

Continuing contracts are issued to teachers who have successfully completed all requirements for a professional teaching certificate. Teachers at the continuing-contract level have full procedural due process rights relating to employment and dismissal. All teachers employed under continuing contracts must be evaluated on a continuous basis; the evaluation may be formal or informal (refer to the explanations above), at the discretion of the district, based on each teacher's needs and previous performance.

## Flow Chart: Contract Types, ADEPT Processes, and District Options

